### **Original Article**

# Is the adolescent's esthetic concern associated with anterior occlusal conditions or the malocclusion severity level?

## Kaarlye C. P. Andrade de Melo<sup>a</sup>; Mario Vedovello-Filho<sup>b</sup>; Vivian F. Furletti-Góis<sup>b</sup>; Marcelo de C. Meneghim<sup>c</sup>; Silvia A. S. Vedovello<sup>b</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** To assess the esthetic impact of anterior occlusal conditions and malocclusion severity levels.

**Materials and Methods:** A population-based cross-sectional study of 700 adolescents aged 15 to 19 years was conducted. The Oral Aesthetic Subjective Impact Scale (OASIS) was used to evaluate the subjective esthetic impact of malocclusion. The Dental Aesthetic Index (DAI) criteria were used to diagnose the anterior occlusal characteristics in isolation and the severity levels of malocclusion. The variables with P < .20 in the individual analyses were tested in multiple logistic regression models, and those with P < .10 remained in the model. The adjusted odds ratio (OR) was estimated with a 95% confidence interval (CI).

**Results:** Of the adolescents, 42% showed negative self-perception of malocclusion. In addition, 15.4% of adolescents had severe malocclusion (DAI 3) and 18.9% very severe malocclusion (DAI 4). Crowding and spacing were shown to be 2.90 (CI: 2.06–4.09) and 2.53 (CI: 1.65–3.86) times, respectively, more likely to cause a negative esthetic impact in adolescents (P < .05). In addition, adolescents with orthodontic treatment need (DAI 2, 3, and 4) were more likely to report a negative esthetic impact (P < .05).

**Conclusions:** Anterior crowding and spacing are the conditions that most influence the esthetic concern of adolescents. Adolescents with very severe malocclusion and higher orthodontic treatment need are more likely to report a negative esthetic impact. (*Angle Orthod.* 2021;91:496–501.)

KEY WORDS: Self-perception; Adolescents; Malocclusion

#### INTRODUCTION

Dissatisfaction with dental esthetics is a subjective indicator related to behavioral problems in adoles-

<sup>o</sup> Professor, Department of Community Dentistry, Piracicaba Dental School, University of Campinas (UNICAMP), Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil.

Corresponding author: Dr Silvia A. S. Vedovello, Department of Orthodontics, University Center of Hermínio Ometto Foundation (FHO), Dr. Maximiliano Baruto Av, 500, Jardim Universitário, Araras, São Paulo 13607-339, Brazil (e-mail: silviavedovello@gmail.com)

Accepted: December 2020. Submitted: June 2020.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb C}$  2021 by The EH Angle Education and Research Foundation, Inc.

cence.<sup>1–3</sup> At that stage of life, malocclusion can be a trigger for psychological stress<sup>4,5</sup> due to shame, intimidation, and depression.<sup>6–8</sup> Individuals with low self-esteem are more likely to experience malocclusion-related adverse esthetic effects,<sup>9–11</sup> influencing social life,<sup>12–14</sup> and oral health-related quality of life.<sup>8–11,15</sup> Malocclusion can compromise the psychosocial and behavioral aspects of life.<sup>5,16</sup> However, the impact of dental esthetics during adolescence need further understanding, especially regarding the adolescents' expectations toward orthodontic treatment.<sup>3,13,17–19</sup> Self-perceived dissatisfaction with dental esthetics has been reported to significantly influence the demand for orthodontic treatment.<sup>7,10,14,20</sup>

Orthodontic treatment has an immediate, positive impact on the appearance and behavior of adolescents.<sup>21</sup> The esthetic and functional traits of a harmonious face may translate into higher intelligence and leadership skills, contributing to professional and personal growth.<sup>16,22,23</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Graduate Student, Department of Orthodontics, Araras Dental School, University Center of Hermínio Ometto Foudantion (FHO), Araras, São Paulo, Brazil.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Professor, Department of Orthodontics, Araras Dental School, University Center of Hermínio Ometto Foundation (FHO), Araras, São Paulo, Brazil.

Published Online: February 15, 2021

Adolescents perceive malocclusion in different ways, and one's need for orthodontic treatment may not coincide with their self-perception of dental appearance.19,21,24,25 Hence, the adolescent's self-perceived esthetics may be negatively affected by the severity levels of malocclusion,<sup>11,17</sup> either remain indifferent, or be slightly affected by some specific occlusal conditions.4 However, previous studies did not use a perceptual measure of the esthetic impact of malocclusion or did not consider the subjective aspects of the condition that were of most concern to adolescents. It is essential to recognize the network of interrelationships of the adolescent's life to obtain a favorable outcome for each patient and improve the costeffectiveness of orthodontic treatment.

An individual's decision to seek orthodontic treatment can be affected by factors that may not always be perceived by the orthodontist.<sup>26</sup> In addition, there is limited evidence on whether the correction of malocclusion results in improved oral health.27 Thus, the hypothesis of this study was that the perception of anterior occlusal conditions might have more impact than the malocclusion severity levels in terms of esthetics. This study aimed to assess the influence of the perceived oral esthetic impact of malocclusion in adolescents.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study Design, Participants, and Sample Size

This study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Brazil (No. 82365917.8.0000. 5385). Informed consent was obtained from parents and subjects before data collection.

A population-based cross-sectional study was conducted involving adolescents enrolled in public schools in Serra Talhada, located in the state of Pernambuco in northeast Brazil. Serra Talhada has an estimated population of 86,350 inhabitants and a human development index of 0.661. A representative sample of 15to 19-year-old adolescents enrolled in all public schools of the city was selected. Initially, a complex stratified sampling was carried out at two levels: schools by neighborhoods and then by students per school.

The sample size was calculated considering a 95% confidence interval, a test power of 80%, and an effect size of 1.5. The minimum sample size was estimated at 560 adolescents. Twenty percent of adolescents were added to compensate for possible nonparticipation. The study included only adolescents with permanent dentition. Current or previous orthodontic treatment, systemic diseases, such as cerebral palsy or Down syndrome, were exclusion criteria. The final sample

#### **Study Instruments and Variables**

The outcome variable was the subjective esthetic impact of malocclusion. The self-perceived need for orthodontic treatment was assessed by the Orthodontic Aesthetic Subjective Impact Score (OASIS).28 The first section of the OASIS consists of a five-question guestionnaire in which the adolescent is asked to point out the alternative that best describes their degree of discontent with the teeth using a seven-point Likerttype scale.<sup>29</sup> The second section was the Aesthetic Component (AC) of the Index of Orthodontic Treatment Need (IOTN), which is a continuous descending dental attractiveness scale illustrated by 10 color photographs of the anterior teeth, ranging from the most attractive (image 1) to the least attractive (image 10).30 Study participants were instructed to point out the photograph they perceived as the most similar to their smile. The final OASIS score was obtained by the sum of the questionnaire answers plus the value of the photograph selected in the IOTN-AC test. The OASIS score on self-perceived orthodontic treatment needs was categorized into lower esthetic concern (OASIS score <14) or higher esthetic concern (OASIS score >14).10,28

The Dental Aesthetic Index (DAI) determined the clinical evaluation of the malocclusion.<sup>31,32</sup> The DAI is a numerical index that evaluates the 10 occlusal characteristics selected according to their potential to cause psychosocial incapacity, grouped into three dimensions: dentition, spacing, and occlusion.<sup>31,32</sup> The index is analyzed using the sum of scores of each characteristic evaluated, added to a constant value. This sum leads to a classification that identifies each individual's orthodontic treatment need determined by the severity of the occlusal conditions. Adolescents were classified into grade 1 (DAI <25; normal plus minor malocclusion/no treatment need or slight need), grade 2 (DAI = 26-30; definite malocclusion/treatment elective), grade 3 (DAI = 31-35; severe malocclusion/ treatment highly desirable), and grade 4 (DAI >36; very severe [handicapping] malocclusion/treatment mandatory). The DAI categorization determined the malocclusion severity levels.

The DAI components were also used separately (anterior crowding, anterior spacing, midline diastema, maxillary overiet, and anterior misalignment) to determine the anterior occlusal conditions. Crowding in the anterior segment was defined as an insufficient space between the right and left canines to accommodate the four incisors in the dental arch. The degree of crowding was dichotomized into "absence of crowding" (0) or

"the presence of crowding" (1 and 2). Spacing was defined as an excess of space between the right and left canines to accommodate the four incisors in standard alignment. The variable spacing was dichotomized into "absence of space" (0) or "the presence of space" (1 and 2). Median diastema corresponded to a gap between the two permanent maxillary central incisors in contact and was measured in millimeters. The variable diastema was characterized as "absence of diastema" (=0) or "presence of diastema" (>0). Anterior misalignment consisted of a rotation of all maxillary and mandibular incisors. The variable misalignment was dichotomized into the "presence of aligned teeth" (=0) or "absence of aligned teeth" (> 0). Lastly, anterior maxillary overjet corresponded to the distance between the buccal incisal edge of the most protruding maxillary incisor to the buccal surface of the corresponding mandibular incisor. A periodontal probe was placed in contact with the mandibular incisor buccal surface parallel to the occlusal plane and perpendicular to the arch line. Overjet was measured in millimeters, and the results were dichotomized into "normal maxillary overjet" (0 to 2 mm) or "increased anterior maxillary overjet" (>2 mm).

#### Calibration

A single examiner, previously trained and calibrated, performed the oral examinations. Training consisted of a theoretical discussion followed by a practical exercise. Calibration resulted in an intraclass correlation coefficient greater than .92, indicating satisfactory inter- and intraexaminer agreement.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

The subjective esthetic impact of malocclusion was considered the outcome of interest. The results were dichotomized into lower esthetic concern (OASIS score <14) or higher esthetic concern (OASIS score >14). The independent variables were gender, malocclusion severity levels (DAI), and anterior occlusal conditions (anterior crowding, anterior spacing, midline diastema, maxillary overjet, and anterior misalignment).

Simple logistic regression models were used to identify the variables significantly related to perceived esthetic outcomes. Crude odds ratios were estimated with 95% confidence intervals, and the data were then subjected to multiple logistic regression models. The variables showing a *P* value <.20 in the simple logistic regression analysis were included in the multiple logistic regression. Then, the variables with a *P* value  $\leq$ .05 were maintained in the final model. The data were analyzed in the R program (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria), considering a 5% significance level.

Table 1. Characteristics of the Study Sample

| Variable     | Category                         | n (%)      |
|--------------|----------------------------------|------------|
| Sex          | Male                             | 323 (46.1) |
|              | Female                           | 377 (53.9) |
| Anterior     | No                               | 493 (70.4) |
| Crowding     | Yes                              | 207 (29.6) |
| Anterior     | No                               | 588 (84.0) |
| Spacing      | Yes                              | 112 (16.0) |
| Median       | No                               | 572 (81.7) |
| Diastema     | Yes                              | 128 (18.3) |
| Maxillary    | Normal                           | 329 (47.0) |
| Overjet      | Increased                        | 371 (53.0) |
| Anterior     | No                               | 533 (76.1) |
| Misalignment | Yes                              | 167 (23.9) |
| Malocclusion | Normal/minor malocclusion        | 288 (41.4) |
| severity     | (DAI 1) treatment need or        |            |
| levels (DAI) | slight need (DAI 1)              |            |
|              | Definite malocclusion (DAI 2)    | 172 (24.6) |
|              | Severe malocclusion (DAI 3)      | 108 (15.4) |
|              | Very severe malocclusion (DAI 4) | 132 (18.9) |

#### RESULTS

The study sample was composed of 700 adolescents, of which 53.9% were females (n = 377) and 46.1% were males (n = 323). A negative selfperceived esthetic impact of occlusal alterations and orthodontic treatment needs was observed in 42% of the sample. For the severity levels of malocclusion, 41.4% of the adolescents had normal/minor malocclusion (DAI 1), 24.6% definite malocclusion (DAI 2), 15.4% severe malocclusion (DAI 3), and 18.9% very severe malocclusion (DAI 4). The following anterior occlusal conditions were observed: increased maxillary overjet (53.0%), crowding (29.6%), misalignment (23.9%), diastema (18.3%), and spacing (16.0%) (Table 1). Figure 1 shows the distribution of OASIS scores in the study sample. The scores ranged from 6 to 23, with a median of 10 and an interguartile range of 3.5.

Table 2 shows the association between the selfperceived esthetic impact of anterior occlusal conditions and the study variables. Adolescents with anterior crowding and spacing were 2.90 and 2.75 times, respectively, more likely to report higher esthetic concerns (P < .05). Also, there was no significant difference by sex or age and self-perceived esthetic impact of occlusal conditions.

Table 3 shows the association between self-perceived esthetic impact (OASIS) and malocclusion severity levels (DAI). Adolescents with definite malocclusion, severe or very severe, were 1.50, 2.29, and 2.80 times, respectively, more likely to have esthetic concerns than those with normal plus minor malocclusion (P < .05).



Figure 1. Distribution of the Subjective Aesthetic Orthodontic Impact (OASIS) score of the sample.

#### DISCUSSION

Adolescent psychosocial behavior may be associated with dissatisfaction with dental appearance.<sup>17,20</sup> Insights about their attractiveness, especially concerning the dentofacial area, associated with the concomitant psychosocial impact play an essential role in adolescence. Better interpersonal relationships and thus greater self-confidence are a direct result of positive social interactions. Hence, there is an increasing interest in studying the relationship between selfperceived malocclusion and orthodontic treatment need at this stage of life.<sup>1–3,16,18,21,33,34</sup> The study hypothesis was that occlusal changes in the smile and malocclusion severity levels would impact adolescents' esthetic concerns.

The findings showed that crowding and spacing were the occlusal conditions with more significant esthetic concerns by adolescents, thus supporting the study hypothesis. Occlusal changes in the anterior segment of the smile negatively influenced esthetic perception. A harmonious smile, with aligned teeth and close interproximal contacts, has been previously shown to influence social acceptance.<sup>8,11,12,14</sup> Concerns related to crowding and spacing may be related to future expectations, since an unpleasant smile may affect adolescents' social and professional lives.<sup>22,23</sup>

On the other hand, occlusal characteristics such as misalignment, a diastema, and overjet did not cause dissatisfaction. Intriguingly, a diastema may be considered to be either unattractive<sup>35</sup> or attractive esthetically.<sup>36</sup> It is also possible that increased maxillary overjet is a more accepted condition among adolescents,<sup>3</sup> and generally, there seems to be cultural and individual variation in the acceptance of some occlusal characteristics.<sup>12</sup> Thus, the current results can be compared with similar studies in different populations, identifying intercultural differences in the esthetic impact of occlusal alterations and orthodontic treatment needs.

This study further showed that gender did not influence the subjective esthetic impact of malocclusion in adolescents. Although most studies previously showed that women were more concerned about the attractiveness of their smiles than were men,<sup>2,8,13,37</sup> a recent study pointed out that concerns about dental appearance have been more prevalent among men.<sup>3</sup> Biological diversity may influence self-perceived esthetics in men and women, suggesting that further studies should consider each population group's cultural background and diversity.

In this study, there was a direct association between self-perceived esthetics and malocclusion severity levels. These findings confirm previous studies,<sup>3,8,11,38–40</sup> suggesting that adolescents relate the psychosocial effects of malocclusion with interest in using orthodontic appliances as an attempt to improve their self-esteem and oral health–related quality of life. The orthodontist must recognize the orthodontic treatment need and

| Table 2. | Association Between | Self-Perceived Esthetic | Impact of | Occlusal A | Iterations | (OASIS) | and the | Study | Variables <sup>a</sup> |
|----------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|---------|---------|-------|------------------------|
|----------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|---------|---------|-------|------------------------|

|                       |           | Esthetic Impact  |            |                      |         |                         |         |
|-----------------------|-----------|--|------------|----------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|
| Variable              | Category  | Lower Concern, Higher Concern, <sup>b</sup><br>n (%) n (%) |            | Crude OR<br>(95% CI) | P Value | Adjusted OR<br>(95% CI) | P Value |
| Gender                | Male      | 185 (57.3)   | 138 (42.7) | 1.06 (0.78–1.43)     | .7192   |                         |         |
|                       | Female    | 221 (58.6)   | 156 (41.4) | Ref                  |         |                         |         |
| Anterior crowding     | No        | 319 (64.7)   | 174 (35.3) | Ref                  |         | Ref                     |         |
|                       | Yes       | 87 (42.0)  | 120 (58.0) | 2.53 (1.81–3.52)     | <.0001  | 2.90 (2.06-4.09)        | <.0001  |
| Anterior spacing      | No        | 357 (60.7)   | 231 (39.3) | Ref                  |         | Ref                     |         |
|                       | Yes       | 49 (43.8)  | 63 (56.2)  | 1.99 (1.32-2.99)     | .0010   | 2.53 (1.65–3.86)        | <.0001  |
| Median diastema       | No        | 341 (59.6)   | 231 (40.4) | Ref                  |         |                         |         |
|                       | Yes       | 65 (50.8)  | 63 (49.2)  | 1.43 (0.97–2.10)     | .0680   |                         |         |
| Maxillary overjet     | Normal    | 200 (60.8)   | 129 (39.1) | Ref                  |         |                         |         |
|                       | Increased | 206 (55.5)   | 165 (44.5) | 1.24 (0.92-1.68)     | .1592   |                         |         |
| Anterior misalignment | No        | 312 (58.5)   | 221 (41.5) | Ref                  |         |                         |         |
|                       | Yes       | 94 (56.3)  | 73 (41.7)  | 1.10 (0.77–1.56)     | .6074   |                         |         |

<sup>a</sup> OR indicates odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

<sup>b</sup> Reference category for the outcome variable.

|                       |  | Esthetic Impact                             |                        |                                      |                |                                      | P Value        |
|-----------------------|--|---|------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| Variable              | Category   | LowerHigherConcern,Concern, $n$ (%) $n$ (%) |                        | Crude OR<br>(95% CI)                 | P Value        | Final OR<br>(95% CI)                 |                |
| Malocclusion severity | Normal/minor malocclusion (DAI 1)                            | 196 (68.1)                                  | 92 (31.9)              | Ref                                  |                | Ref                                  |                |
| levels (DAI)          | Definite malocclusion (DAI 2)<br>Severe malocclusion (DAI 3) | 101 (58.7)<br>52 (48.2)                     | 71 (41.3)<br>56 (51.8) | 1.50 (1.02–2.22)<br>2.29 (1.41–3.60) | .0406<br>.0001 | 1.50 (1.02–2.22)<br>2.29 (1.41–3.60) | .0406<br>.0001 |
|                       | Very severe malocclusion (DAI 4)                             | 57 (43.2)                                   | 75 (56.8)              | 2.80 (1.83–4.28)                     | <.0001         | 2.80 (1.83–4.28)                     | <.0001         |

Table 3. Association Between Self-Perceived Esthetic Impact of Occlusal Alterations (OASIS) and Malocclusion Severity Levels (DAI)

<sup>a</sup> OR indicates odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

<sup>b</sup> Reference category for the outcome variable.

associate it with the patient's real expectations. The adolescents' perception of dentofacial esthetics is related to the intensity and complexity of the social, emotional, and behavioral relationships being experienced in that moment of life. Thus, the normative clinical assessment and the adolescent's perception must be considered in oral health care.

The results showed that malocclusion in the esthetic zone of the smile was associated with adolescents' esthetic concerns. To complicate matters, a very severe malocclusion is almost three times more likely to have an esthetic impact at this stage of life. A longitudinal study design would strengthen the study to analyze how adolescents' perceptions could change through adulthood due to their psychosocial and professional life.

Finally, subjective and normative analyses provide essential clinical information to the orthodontist, who must assess the occlusal and esthetic changes that cause dissatisfaction to the patient and then establish an orthodontic treatment plan considering the patient's complaint. Although the normative clinical needs are fundamental in planning, the anterior tooth alignment is decisive in the adolescents' esthetic concern and the search for orthodontic treatment. Thus, identifying the psychosocial effect of malocclusion can better guide each patient's therapeutic needs and encourage orthodontic treatment adherence.

#### CONCLUSIONS

- Anterior crowding and spacing are the conditions that most influence the esthetic concerns of adolescents.
- Adolescents with very severe malocclusion and mandatory orthodontic treatment need are more likely to report a negative esthetic impact.

#### REFERENCES

- Salih FN, Lindsten R, Bagesung M. Perception of orthodontic treatment need among Swedish children, adolescents and young adults. *Acta Odontol Scand*. 2017;75:407–412.
- 2. de Oliveira Meira ACL, Custodio W, Vedovello Filho M, et al. How is orthodontic treatment need associated with per-

ceived esthetic impact of malocclusion in adolescents? *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2020;158:668–673.

- 3. Kaieda AK, Bulgareli JV, Cunha IP, et al. Malocclusion and dental appearance in underprivileged Brazilian adolescents. *Braz Oral Res.* 2019;33:e014.
- 4. Ashari A, Mohamed AM. Relationship of the Dental Aesthetic Index to the oral health-related quality of life. *Angle Orthod.* 2016;86:337–342.
- Taghavi Bayat J, Huggare J, Akrami N. Distinguishing between global and dental self-esteem in evaluating malocclusions. *Acta Odontol Scand*. 2019;77:452–456.
- Al-Omari IK, Al-Bitar ZB, Sonbol HN, Al-Ahmad HT, Cunningham SJ, Al-Omiri M. Impact of bullying due to dentofacial features on oral health-related quality of life. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2014;146:734–739.
- Feldens CA, Nakamura EK, Tessarollo FR, Closs LQ. Desire for orthodontic treatment and associated factors among adolescents in Southern Brazil. *Angle Orthod.* 2015;85:224– 232.
- Dalaie K, Behnaz M, Khodabakhshi Z, Hosseinpour S. Impact of malocclusion severity on oral health-related quality of life in an Iranian young adult population. *Eur J Dent.* 2018; 12:129–135.
- Sharma A, Mathur A, Batra M, et al. Objective and subjective evaluation of adolescent's orthodontic treatment needs and their impact on self-esteem. *Rev Paul Pediatr.* 2017;35:86– 91.
- 10. Borzabadi-Farahani A. A review of the evidence supporting the aesthetic orthodontic treatment need indices. *Prog Orthod.* 2012;13:304–313.
- Dos Santos PR, Meneghim MC, Ambrosano GM, Filho MV, Vedovello SA. Influence of quality of life, self-perception, and self-esteem on orthodontic treatment need. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2017;151:143–147.
- Taibah SM, Al-Hummayani FM. Effect of malocclusion on the self-esteem of adolescents. J Orthod Sci. 2017;6:123– 128.
- Bernabé E, Flores-Mir C. Influence of anterior occlusal characteristics on self-perceived dental appearance in young adults. *Angle Orthod.* 2007;77:831–836.
- Twigge E, Roberts RM, Jamieson L, Dreyer CW, Sampson WJ. The psycho-social impact of malocclusions and treatment expectations of adolescent orthodontic patients. *Eur J Orthod.* 2016;38:593–601.
- Bittencourt JM, Martins LP, Bendo CB, Vale MP, Paiva SM. Negative effect of malocclusion on the emotional and social well-being of Brazilian adolescents: a population-based study. *Eur J Orthod*. 2017;39:628–633.

- Grewal H, Sapawat P, Modi P, Aggarwal S. Psychological impact of orthodontic treatment on quality of life: a longitudinal study. *Int Orthod.* 2019;17:269–276.
- Taghavi Bayat J, Huggare J, Mohlin B, Akrami N. Determinants of orthodontic treatment need and demand: a crosssectional path model study. *Eur J Orthod.* 2017;39:85–91.
- Deng X, Wang YJ, Deng F, Liu PL, Wu Y. Psychological well-being, dental esthetics, and psychosocial impacts in adolescent orthodontic patients: a prospective longitudinal study. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2018;153:87–96.
- Prasad KN, Sabrish S, Mathew S, Shivamurthy PG, Pattabiraman V, Sagarkar R. Comparison of the influence of dental and facial aesthetics in determining overall attractiveness. *Int Orthod.* 2018;16:684–697.
- do Amaral BA, Gondim Filgueira AC, da Silva-Neto JP, de Lima KC. Relationship between normative and self-perceived criteria for orthodontic treatment need and satisfaction with esthetics and mastication in adolescents. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2020;157:42–48.
- 21. Borzabadi-Farahani A. A review of the oral health-related evidence that supports the orthodontic treatment need indices. *Prog Orthod.* 2012;13:314–325.
- Barbosa de Almeida A, Leite ICG, Alves da Silva G. Brazilian adolescents' perception of the orthodontic appliance: a qualitative study. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2019;155:490–497.
- Dean JA, McDonald SM, Walker PO. Public assistance orthodontic treatment needs: a report from the state of Indiana. J Public Health Dent. 2005;65:133–137.
- Olsen JA, Inglehart MR. Malocclusions and perceptions of attractiveness, intelligence, and personality, and behavioral intentions. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2011;140:669– 679.
- Cai Y, Du W, Lin F, Ye S, Ye Y. Agreement of young adults and orthodontists on dental aesthetics & influencing factors of self-perceived aesthetics. *BMC Oral Health.* 2018;18:113.
- Gudipaneni RK, Aldahmeshi RF, Patil SR, Alam MK. The prevalence of malocclusion and the need for orthodontic treatment among adolescents in the northern border region of Saudi Arabia: an epidemiological study. *BMC Oral Health*. 2018;18:16.
- Bellot-Arcís C, Montiel-Company JM, Manzanera-Pastor D, Almerich-Silla JM. Orthodontic treatment need in a Spanish young adult population. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal*. 2012; 17:e638–e643.
- Macey R, Thiruvenkatachari B, O'Brien K, Batista KBSL. Do malocclusion and orthodontic treatment impact oral health?

A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2020;157:738–744.

- Mandall NA, McCord JF, Blinkhorn AS, Worthington HV, O'Brien KD. Perceived aesthetic impact of malocclusion and oral self-perceptions in 14-15-year-old Asian and Caucasian children in greater Manchester. *Eur J Orthod*. 2000;22:175– 183.
- Pimenta WV, Traebert J. Adaptation of the Oral Aesthetic Subjective Impact Score (OASIS) questionnaire for perception of oral aesthetics in Brazil. *Oral Health Prev Dent.* 2010; 8:133–137.
- Brook PH, Shaw WC. The development of an index of orthodontic treatment priority. *Eur J Orthod*. 1989;11:309– 320.
- Jenny J, Cons NC. Establishing malocclusion severity levels on the Dental Aesthetic Index (DAI) scale. *Aust Dent J*. 1996;41:43–46.
- World Health Organization. Oral Health Surveys: Basic Methods. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1997.
- Spalj S, Novsak A, Bilobrk P, Katic V, Zrinski MT, Pavlic A. Mediation and moderation effect of the big five personality traits on the relationship between self-perceived malocclusion and psychosocial impact of dental esthetics. *Angle Orthod.* 2016;86:413–420.
- Alhummayani FM, Taibah SM. Orthodontic treatment needs in Saudi young adults and manpower requirements. *Saudi Med J.* 2018;39:822–828.
- Umanah A, Omogbai AA, Osagbemiro B. Prevalence of artificially created maxillary midline diastema and its complications in a selected Nigerian population. *Afr Health Sci.* 2015;15:226–232.
- Machado AW, Moon W, Campos E, Gandini LG Jr. Influence of spacing in the upper lateral incisor area on the perception of smile aesthetics among orthodontists and laypersons. J World Fed Orthod. 2013;2:169–174.
- Godinho, J, Gonçalves RP, Jardim L. Contribution of facial components to the attractiveness of the smiling face in male and female patients: a cross-sectional correlation study. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2020;157:98–104.
- Gavric A, Mirceta D, Jakobovic M, Pavlic A, Zrinski MT, Spalj S. Craniodentofacial characteristics, dental aesthetics-related quality of life, and self-esteem. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2015;147:711–718.
- 40. Silveira MF, Freire RS, Nepomuceno MO, Martins AM, Marcopito LF. Severity of malocclusion in adolescents: populational-based study in the north of Minas Gerais, Brazil. Rev Saúde Pública. 2016;50:11.