

Erratum

Re: Evaluation of anchorage loss after en masse retraction in orthodontic patients with maxillary protrusion using friction vs frictionless mechanics: randomized clinical trial. Amr Mahmoud Attia, Leena A. Shibli, Heba M. Dehis, Yehya A. Mostafa, Amr R. El-beialy. *Angle Orthod.* 2024;94:532–540.

An error appeared in the data reported in Table 3 (page 537) of the above referenced paper. The corrected Table 3 appears here:

Table 3. Anchorage loss and molar rotation changes between groups^a

	Friction Group				Frictionless Group					
	Preretraction, Mean ± SD	Postretraction, Mean ± SD	Difference (95% CI)	<i>P</i> Value	Preretraction, Mean ± SD	Postretraction, Mean ± SD	Difference (95% CI)	<i>P</i> Value	Difference (95% CI)	<i>P</i> Value
Anchorage loss (cusp tip), mm	18.5 ± 6.1	16.5 ± 6.2	1.924 (0.3–1.9)	<.001*	19.7 ± 8.1	15.7 ± 7.5	3.985 (2.7–4.5)	.001*	2.1 (–0.4 to 3.5)	.014*
Anchorage loss (apex), mm	17.2 ± 5.5	16.6 ± 5.8	0.641 (0.2–0.7)	.326	19.0 ± 6.5	17.4 ± 6.5	1.580 (1.3–2.5)	.005*	0.9 (–0.7 to 2.5)	.236
Molar rotation, °	148.7 ± 9.1	154.8 ± 5.6	6.113 (0.45–7.01)	.014*	152.1 ± 5.0	164.9 ± 5.4	12.785 (6.43–12.97)	<.001*	6.67 (1.2 to 12.2)	.020*

^a CI indicates confidence interval; SD, standard deviation.

* Statistically significant results, the difference is presented as value (95% CI). Significance level, $P \leq .05$.